

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/592,962	08/25/2008	David Sidransky	62933(71699)	4059
49983 7599 11/28/2011 EDWARDS WILDMAN PALMER LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAMINER	
			GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/592,962	SIDRANSKY, DAVID			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JEANINE A. GOLDBERG	1634			
All Participants: Status of Application: after non-final					
(1) <u>JEANINE A. GOLDBERG</u> .	(3)				
(2) Melissa Hunter-Ensor.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 21 November 2011	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No	ant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENESEE Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	: DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Jeanine A Goldberg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634 (A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/592,962

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant called the examiner on Saturday November 19th wishing to speak about this case before filling an amendment on Monday. The examiner called the applicant back on several occasions and finally spoke with applicant later in the day. Applicant wished to speak about the last communication where the examiner mailed a non-responsive because the claims were no longer drawn to the combination of GSTP1 and APC. After Applicant provided several citations in the MPEP that were not persuasive to the Examiner, the examiner indicated she needed to review the file before making a decision. Applicant indicated they wished to file the response on the 21st.